COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1936-01 <u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Bill No.</u>: HB 787 <u>Date</u>: February 12, 2015

Subject: Disabilities; Agriculture and Animals

Bill Summary: This proposal revises the definition of a service dog to include animals

that provide support or therapeutic functions for individuals with

psychiatric or mental disabilities.

State Fiscal Highlights

• No direct fiscal impact on the state is anticipated.

Local Fiscal Highlights

• No direct fiscal impact on local political subdivisions is anticipated.

Fiscal Analysis

§§ 209.150, 209.200 - Service Dogs:

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture**, **Department of Mental Health**, **Department of Health and Senior Services**, and **Office of Administration** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to similar legislation from 2015 (HCS for HB 142), officials from the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations** and **Department of Social Services** each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal. Also, this legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

KB:LR:OD

Mickey When

Mickey Wilson, CPA Director February 12, 2015 Ross Strope Assistant Director February 12, 2015